arXiv:1505.03938v1 [math.PR] 15 May 2015

SPDEs with two reflecting walls and two singular drifts[1]

Juan Yang[a], **Jianliang Zhai**[b]

[a] *School of Science, Beijing University of posts and telecommunications, No.10 Xitucheng Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100875, China. Email: yangjuanyj6@gmail.com*
[b] *School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230026, China. Email: zhaijianliang2008@163.com*

Abstract

We study SPDEs with two reflecting walls Λ^1, Λ^2 and two singular drifts $\frac{c_1}{(X-\Lambda^1)^\vartheta}$, $\frac{c_2}{(\Lambda^2-X)^\vartheta}$, driven by space-time white noise. First, we establish the existence and uniqueness of the solutions X for $\vartheta \geq 0$. Second, we obtain the following pathwise properties of the solutions X. If $\vartheta > 3$, then a.s. $\Lambda^1 < X < \Lambda^2$ for all $t \geq 0$; If $0 < \vartheta < 3$, then X hits Λ^1 or Λ^2 with positive probability in finite time. Thus $\vartheta = 3$ is the critical parameter for X to hit reflecting walls.

Key Words: SPDEs with two reflecting walls; Singular drifts

MSC: Primary 60H15; 60H20; Secondary 35K05

---

1. Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the following reflected stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs):

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial X(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 X(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + f(x,t,X) + \frac{c_1}{(X-\Lambda^1)^\vartheta} - \frac{c_2}{(\Lambda^2-X)^\vartheta} \\ \qquad\qquad +\chi\big(x,t,X\big)\dot{W}(x,t) + \Upsilon(x,t) - \Gamma(x,t), \quad (x,t) \in Q := [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}_+ \\ \Lambda^1(x,t) \leq X(x,t) \leq \Lambda^2(x,t). \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

Here W is a space-time white noise and $\vartheta > 0$. Random measures Γ and Υ are the additional forces preventing X from leaving $[\Lambda^1,\ \Lambda^2]$.

Considering that SPDEs with reflection are natural extensions of the deterministic obstacle problems, there has been an upsurge of interest in this topic. The existence and uniqueness of the solutions have been well studied for the case of Lipchitz coefficient with a single reflecting barrier 0, *i.e.* $\Lambda^1 = 0, \Lambda^2 = \infty$, $c_1 = c_2 = 0$, see Naulart and Pardoux [6], Donati-Martin and Pardoux [2], Xu and Zhang [9], etc.. Otobe [7] and Zhang and Yang [14] obtained the existence and uniqueness of the solutions of SPDEs with double reflecting barriers and Lipchitz coefficient, driven by an additive white noise and by multiplicative white noise respectively.

All of the results mentioned above are devoted to the case of Lipchitz coefficient. Mueller [4] proved that solutions of SPDEs $X_t = X_{xx} + g(X)\dot{W}(t,x)$, where $g(X)$ is non Lipschiz, do not blow up in finite time, they did this by introducing a drift $X^{-\vartheta}$ into the former equation as a byproduct. It was proved that this drift forces solutions to stay positive with probability 1. Mueller and Pardoux [5] concentrated on the case when $0 < \vartheta < 3$ and showed that the solutions hit 0 with positive probability. Thus, $\vartheta = 3$ is the critical exponent for X to hit zero in finite time. More precisely, if $\vartheta > 3$, then $inf_x \ X(t,x)$ never reaches 0, and for $0 < \vartheta < 3$, $inf_x \ X(t,x)$ has a positive probability of reaching 0 in finite time. Existence and uniqueness for all time of a solution for $\vartheta = 3$ was first verified by Zambotti [12], and it also showed the existence and uniqueness of the solution in the case of $0 < \vartheta < 3$ and a single reflecting barrier 0 in Zambotti [12]. The hitting properties of the solution for $\vartheta = 3$ was discussed in [1]. All those discussions are under the assumption that SPDEs with the singular drift holds.

Inspired by these, in this paper, we consider SPDEs (1.1), which has double smooth reflecting walls Λ^1 and Λ^2 and two singular drifts $\frac{c_1}{(X-\Lambda^1)^\vartheta}$, $\frac{c_2}{(\Lambda^2-X)^\vartheta}$, for all $\vartheta > 0$. As far as we know, there are few literatures that have studied the reflection problem with singularities. To show the existence and uniqueness of the solutions of Eq. (1.1), the strategy is similar to that in Xu and Zhang [9] as well as [14]. Due to the singular terms, we need to approximate the solution by introducing two monotone sequences. As an extension from one wall to two walls case, we show that for $\vartheta > 3$, the solution of Eq. (1.1) stays in the interval $\big(\Lambda^1, \ \Lambda^2\big)$ for all $t \geq 0$, a.s., that is $\Upsilon = \Gamma = 0$; for $0 < \vartheta < 3$, the solution of Eq. (1.1) hits Λ^1 or Λ^2 with positive probability in finite time. Thus $\vartheta = 3$ is the critical parameter for X to hit reflecting walls. Various other properties of the solutions have been studied, see [1], [3], [10], [11] and [13].

The paper is organized as follows. Definitions and assumptions are given in Section 2. Section 3 is to establish the existence and uniqueness of the solution of Eq. (1.1). In Section 4 we consider the pathwise properties of Eq. (1.1), Subsection 4.1 is devoted to the case $\vartheta > 3$, and in Subsection 4.2, we deal with the case when $0 < \vartheta < 3$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we state the precise assumptions on the coefficients and the concept of solution.

First, we formulate Eq. (1.1) as follows:

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial x^2} + f(x,t,X) + \frac{c_1}{(X-\Lambda^1)^\vartheta} - \frac{c_2}{(\Lambda^2 - X)^\vartheta} + \chi(x,t,X)\dot{W} + \Upsilon - \Gamma; \tag{2.1}$$

with the conditions

$$\begin{cases} X(0,t) = 0, \ X(1,t) = 0, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0; \\ X(x,0) = X_0(x) \in C([0,1]); \\ \Lambda^1(x,t) \leq X(x,t) \leq \Lambda^2(x,t), \quad \text{for } (x,t) \in Q := [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}_+. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

Let $f, \chi : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times C(Q) \to \mathbb{R}$ be given measurable maps. Set $\mathcal{A}_{M,T} = \{X \in C(Q) : \sup_{x\in[0,1],t\in[0,T]} |X(x,t)| \leq M\}$. Introduce the following conditions:

(H0) $\Lambda^i(x,t) \in C(Q)$ satisfy $\Lambda^i(1,t) \leq 0$, $\Lambda^i(1,t) \geq 0$, for $i = 1,2$;
(H1) $\Lambda^1(x,t) < \Lambda^2(x,t)$, $\forall (x,t) \in (0,1) \times \mathbb{R}_+$;
(H2) $\frac{\partial \Lambda^i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 \Lambda^i}{\partial x^2} \in L^2([0,1] \times [0,T])$;
(H3) $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Lambda^i(0,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Lambda^i(1,t) = 0$ for $t \geq 0$;
(H4) $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\Lambda^2 - \Lambda^1) \geq 0$.
(F1) $f(\cdot,\cdot;0), \chi(\cdot,\cdot;0) \in L^2([0,1] \times [0,T])$, for $T > 0$;
(F2) for every $X, \hat{X} \in \mathcal{A}_{M,T}$ there exists $C_{T,M} > 0$ such that

$$|f(x,t;X) - f(x,t;\hat{X})| + |\chi(x,t;X) - \chi(x,t;\hat{X})| \leq C_{T,M} \sup_{y\in[0,1],s\in[0,t]} |X(y,s) - \hat{X}(y,s)|$$

for all $(x,t) \in [0,1] \times [0,T]$;
(F3) there exists $C_T > 0$ such that

$$|f(x,t;X)| + |\chi(x,t;X)| \leq C_T(1 + \sup_{y\in[0,1],s\in[0,t]} |X(y,s)|), \ \forall (x,t) \in [0,1] \times [0,T].$$

Now we recall the concept of solution of Eq. (2.2) in [10].

Defintion 2.1. *A triplet* (X, Υ, Γ) *defined on a filtered probability space* $(\Omega, P, \mathcal{F}; \{\mathcal{F}_t\})$ *is a solution to the SPDE* (2.2)*, if*
(i) $X \in C(Q)$ *is a adapted random field satisfying* $\Lambda^1(x,t) \leq X(x,t) \leq \Lambda^2(x,t)$, $X(0,t) = 0$, *and* $X(1,t) = 0$, *a.s;*
(ii) $\Upsilon(dx, dt)$ *and* $\Gamma(dx, dt)$ *are positive and adapted random measures on* $(0,1) \times \mathbb{R}_+$ *satisfying*

$$\Upsilon\big((\epsilon, 1-\epsilon) \times [0,T]\big) < \infty, \ \Gamma\big((\epsilon, 1-\epsilon) \times [0,T]\big) < \infty$$

for $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ *and* $T > 0$*;*
(iii) for every $t \geq 0$ *and* $\psi \in C_0^\infty(0,1)$*(the set of smooth functions with compact supports)*

$$\begin{aligned} &\big(X(t), \psi\big) - \big(X_0, \psi\big) - \int_0^t (X(s), \psi^{''}) ds - \int_0^t \big(f(y,s,X), \psi\big) ds \\ = \ & \int_0^t \Big(\frac{c_1}{(X-\Lambda^1)^\vartheta} - \frac{c_2}{(\Lambda^2 - X)^\vartheta}, \psi\Big) ds + \int_0^t \int_0^1 (\chi(y,s,X), \psi) W(dx, ds) \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_0^1 \psi \Upsilon(dx, ds) - \int_0^t \int_0^1 \psi \Gamma(dx, ds), \ \text{a.s}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where $(,)$ *denotes the inner product in* $L^2([0,1])$ *and* $X(t)$ *denotes* $X(\cdot, t)$*;*
(iv)

$$\int_Q \big(X(x,t) - \Lambda^1(x,t)\big) \Upsilon(dx, dt) = \int_Q \big(\Lambda^2(x,t) - X(x,t)\big) \Gamma(dx, dt) = 0.$$

3. The existence and uniqueness of solutions

In this section, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $X_0 \in C([0,1])$ *satisfy* $\Lambda^1(x,0) \leq X_0(x) \leq \Lambda^2(x,0)$, $X_0(0) = X_0(1) = 0$*. Assume conditions (H0)-(H4), (F1)-(F3) hold. Then there exists a unique solution* (X, Υ, Γ) *to Eq.* (2.2) *for all* $\vartheta \geq 0$*.*

As a first step we show that, for every $v(x,t)$ (sometimes denote by v) $\in C(Q)$, $v(x,0) = X_0(x)$ and $v(0,t) = 0$, $v(1,t) = 0$, the deterministic PDE

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \Xi(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 \Xi(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{c_1}{(\Xi + v - \Lambda^1)^\vartheta} - \frac{c_2}{(\Lambda^2 - \Xi - v)^\vartheta} + \Upsilon(x,t) - \Gamma(x,t); \\ \Xi(0,t) = \Xi(1,t) = 0, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0; \\ \Xi(x,0) = 0, \quad \text{for } x \in [0,1]; \\ \Lambda^1(x,t) \leq \Xi(x,t) + v(x,t) \leq \Lambda^2(x,t), \quad \text{for } (x,t) \in Q \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

has a unique continuous solution.

We now recall the precise definition of the solution for Eq. (3.1) in [10].

Defintion 3.1. *A triplet* (Ξ, Υ, Γ) *is called a solution to the PDE (3.1) if*
(i) $\Xi = \Xi(x,t); (x,t) \in Q$ *is a continuous function satisfying* $\Lambda^1(x,t) \leq \Xi(x,t) + v(x,t) \leq \Lambda^2(x,t),\ \Xi(x,0) = 0,\ \Xi(0,t) = \Xi(1,t) = 0$*;*
(ii) $\Upsilon(dx,dt)$ *and* $\Gamma(dx,dt)$ *are measures on* $(0,1) \times \mathbb{R}_+$ *satisfying*

$$\Upsilon\big((\epsilon, 1-\epsilon) \times [0,T]\big) < \infty,\ \Gamma\big((\epsilon, 1-\epsilon) \times [0,T]\big) < \infty$$

for every $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ *and* $T > 0$*;*
(iii) for all $t \geq 0$ *and* $\psi \in C_0^\infty(0,1)$ *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \big(\Xi(t), \psi\big) - \int_0^t (\Xi(s), \psi^{''})ds - \int_0^t \Big(\frac{c_1}{(\Xi + v - \Lambda^1)^\vartheta} - \frac{c_2}{(\Lambda^2 - \Xi - v)^\vartheta}, \psi\Big)ds \\ = & \int_0^t \int_0^1 \psi \Upsilon(dx,ds) - \int_0^t \int_0^1 \psi \Gamma(dx,ds), \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where $\Xi(t)$ *denotes* $\Xi(\cdot, t)$*.*
(iv)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q \big(\Xi(x,t) + v(x,t) - \Lambda^1(x,t)\big)\Upsilon(dx,dt) \\ = & \int_Q \big(\Lambda^2(x,t) - \Xi(x,t) - v(x,t)\big)\Gamma(dx,dt) \\ = & \ 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.1. *Assume conditions (H0)-(H4) hold. Then there exists a unique solution* (Ξ, Υ, Γ) *to Eq. (3.1). Moreover, denote* $(\hat{\Xi}, \hat{\Upsilon}, \hat{\Gamma})$ *by the solution to Eq. (3.1) replacing* v *with* $\hat{v}$*. Then*

$$\|\Xi - \hat{\Xi}\|_\infty^T \leq \|v - \hat{v}\|_\infty^T,\ \forall T > 0, \tag{3.3}$$

where $\|J\|_\infty^T := \sup_{x \in [0,1], t \in [0,T]} |J(x,t)|$.

Before the proof of Proposition 3.1, the following lemma for comparison is needed.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume (H0)-(H4). Let* $g^\rho : [0,1]\times\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ *be measurable,* $\rho > 0$*, such that* $y \mapsto g^\rho(x,y)$ *is monotone nonincreasing, Lipschitz-continuous uniformly in* $x\in[0,1]$ *and satisfies*

$$|g^\rho(x,y)| \le C(1+|y|), \quad \text{for } y\in\mathbb{R},\ \rho>0,$$

for some $C\ge 0$*. Consider the following PDE with reflection:*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \Xi^\rho}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 \Xi^\rho}{\partial x^2} + g^\rho(\Xi^\rho) + \Upsilon^\rho - \Gamma^\rho; \\ \Xi^\rho(0,t) = \Xi^\rho(1,t) = 0, \quad \text{for } t\ge 0; \\ \Xi^\rho(x,0) = 0, \quad \text{for } x\in[0,1], \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

where Υ^ρ*,* Γ^ρ *satisfy*

$$\int_Q \big(\Xi^\rho(x,t) + v(x,t) - \Lambda^1(x,t)\big)\Upsilon^\rho(dx,dt) = 0,$$

$$\int_Q \big(\Lambda^2(x,t) - \Xi^\rho(x,t) - v(x,t)\big)\Gamma^\rho(dx,dt) = 0.$$

Then there exists a unique solution of Eq. (3.4)*, denoted by* Ξ^ρ*. Moreover, if* $\rho\mapsto g^\rho$ *is monotone nonincreasing, then* $\rho\mapsto\Xi^\rho$ *is monotone nonincreasing.*

Remark 3.1. *To get the monotonicity of* Ξ^ρ *w.r.t.* ρ*, the monotonicity of drift* g^ρ *in Eq.* (3.4) *w.r.t.* ρ *is required. If we assume that there exists the solution of Eq.* (3.4)*, the Lipschitz-continuous and linear growth conditions of* g *are not needed in Lemma 3.1.*

Proof. The existence of the solution of Eq. (3.4) can be obtained from the convergence of the penalized equation which is similarly to Theorem 2.1 in Otobe [7]. The uniqueness of the solutions of (3.4) can be proved in a similar way as Theorem 3.1 in Zhang and Yang [14].

Now we prove the comparison principle. Let $\rho_1 \ge \rho_2 > 0$ and set $\phi = (\Xi^{\rho_1} - \Xi^{\rho_2})^+$, where X^{ρ_1}, X^{ρ_2} are the solutions of Eq. (3.4) with drifts g^{ρ_1}, g^{ρ_2} respectively. Consider the inner product between ϕ and $\Xi^{\rho_1} - \Xi^{\rho_2}$ in

$L^2(0,1)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
= \; & 2\int_0^t <\phi,\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}(\Xi^{\rho_1}-\Xi^{\rho_2})> ds + 2\int_0^t <\phi,\ g^{\rho_1}(\Xi^{\rho_1})-g^{\rho_2}(\Xi^{\rho_2})> ds \\
& +2\int_0^t <\phi,\ d\Upsilon^{\rho_1}-d\Upsilon^{\rho_2}> -2\int_0^t <\phi,\ d\Gamma^{\rho_1}-d\Gamma^{\rho_2}> \\
= \; & -2\int_0^t \|\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}\|_{L^2}^2 ds + 2\int_0^t <\phi,\ g^{\rho_1}(\Xi^{\rho_1})-g^{\rho_1}(\Xi^{\rho_2})> ds \\
& +2\int_0^t <\phi,\ g^{\rho_1}(\Xi^{\rho_2})-g^{\rho_2}(\Xi^{\rho_2})> ds \\
& +2\int_0^t 1_{\{\Xi^{\rho_1}\geq\Xi^{\rho_2}\}} <\Xi^{\rho_1}+v-\Lambda^1-(\Xi^{\rho_2}+v-\Lambda^1),\ d\Upsilon^{\rho_1}-d\Upsilon^{\rho_2}> \\
& -2\int_0^t 1_{\{\Xi^{\rho_1}\geq\Xi^{\rho_2}\}} <\Xi^{\rho_1}+v-\Lambda^2-(\Xi^{\rho_2}+v-\Lambda^2),\ d\Gamma^{\rho_1}-d\Gamma^{\rho_2}> \\
= \; & -2\int_0^t \|\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}\|_{L^2}^2 ds + 2\int_0^t <\phi,\ g^{\rho_1}(\Xi^{\rho_1})-g^{\rho_1}(\Xi^{\rho_2})> ds \\
& +2\int_0^t <\phi,\ g^{\rho_1}(\Xi^{\rho_2})-g^{\rho_2}(\Xi^{\rho_2})> ds \\
& +2\int_0^t 1_{\{\Xi^{\rho_1}\geq\Xi^{\rho_2}\}}(<\Xi^{\rho_1}+v-\Lambda^1,\ -d\Upsilon^{\rho_2}>+<-(\Xi^{\rho_2}+v-\Lambda^1),\ d\Upsilon^{\rho_1}>) \\
& -2\int_0^t 1_{\{\Xi^{\rho_1}\geq\Xi^{\rho_2}\}}(<\Xi^{\rho_1}+v-\Lambda^2,\ -d\Gamma^{\rho_2}>+<-(\Xi^{\rho_2}+v-\Lambda^2),\ d\Gamma^{\rho_1}>) \\
\leq \; & 2\int_0^t <\phi,\ g^{\rho_1}(\Xi^{\rho_2})-g^{\rho_2}(\Xi^{\rho_2})> ds.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Proposition 3.1 Existence: Consider the following reflected PDE with Lipschitz coefficients:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial \Xi^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 \Xi^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{c_1}{[\epsilon_1+(\Xi^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}+v-\Lambda^1)]^\vartheta} - \frac{c_2}{[\epsilon_2+(\Lambda^2-\Xi^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}-v)]^\vartheta} + \Upsilon^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} - \Gamma^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}; \\
\Xi^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(0,t) = \Xi^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(1,t) = 0; \\
\Xi^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(x,0) = 0,
\end{array}\right. \tag{3.5}$$

where $\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2>0$ and $\Upsilon^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}$, $\Gamma^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}$ satisfy $\int_Q \big(\Xi^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(x,t)+v(x,t)-\Lambda^1(x,t)\big)$ $\Upsilon^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(dx,dt)=0$, $\int_Q \big(\Lambda^2(x,t)-\Xi^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(x,t)-v(x,t)\big)\Gamma^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(dx,dt)=0$.

The existence and uniqueness of the solutions of Eq. (3.5) can be got from Lemma 3.1. Indeed, there is a unique solution $\tilde{\Xi}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} \in [\Lambda^1,\ \Lambda^2]$ of the following PDE

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \tilde{\Xi}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\Xi}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{c_1}{[\epsilon_1 + (\tilde{\Xi}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} + v - \Lambda^1)\vee 0]^{\vartheta}} - \frac{c_2}{[\epsilon_2 + (\Lambda^2 - \tilde{\Xi}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} - v)\vee 0]^{\vartheta}} + \tilde{\Upsilon}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} - \tilde{\Gamma}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}; \\ \tilde{\Xi}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(0,t) = \tilde{\Xi}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(1,t) = 0; \\ \tilde{\Xi}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(x,0) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

where $\tilde{\Upsilon}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}$, $\tilde{\Gamma}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}$ satisfy $\int_Q \big(\tilde{\Xi}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(x,t) + v(x,t) - \Lambda^1(x,t)\big)\tilde{\Upsilon}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(dx,dt) = 0$, $\int_Q \big(\Lambda^2(x,t) - \tilde{\Xi}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(x,t) - v(x,t)\big)\tilde{\Gamma}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(dx,dt) = 0$. Therefore, Eq.s (3.5) and (3.6) have the same solution. Denote the solution of Eq. (3.5) by $\Xi^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}$.

Fix ϵ_2, by Lemma 3.1 we get that $\epsilon_1 \mapsto \Xi^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}$ is nonincreasing. Notice that $\omega^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} := \epsilon_1 + \Xi^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}$ is solution of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \omega^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 \omega^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{c_1}{(\omega^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} + v - \Lambda^1)^{\vartheta}} - \frac{c_2}{(\Lambda^2 - \omega^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} - v + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)^{\vartheta}} + \Upsilon_{\omega}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} - \Gamma_{\omega}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}; \\ \omega^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(0,t) = \omega^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(1,t) = \epsilon_1; \\ \omega^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(x,0) = \epsilon_1, \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

where $\Upsilon_{\omega}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}$, $\Gamma_{\omega}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}$ satisfy $\int_Q \big(\omega^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(x,t) + v(x,t) - \Lambda^1(x,t)\big)\Upsilon_{\omega}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(dx,dt) = 0$, $\int_Q \big(\Lambda^2(x,t) - \omega^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(x,t) - v(x,t)\big)\Gamma_{\omega}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(dx,dt) = 0$.

Let $g^{\epsilon_1} = \frac{c_1}{(\omega^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} + v - \Lambda^1)^{\vartheta}} - \frac{c_2}{(\Lambda^2 - \omega^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} - v + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)^{\vartheta}}$. By Lemma 3.1, we have for all $\epsilon_1'' \geq \epsilon_1' > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\omega^{\epsilon_1',\epsilon_2} - \omega^{\epsilon_1'',\epsilon_2})^+\|_{L^2}^2 \\ \leq\ & \int_0^t \int_0^1 [g^{\epsilon_1'}(\omega^{\epsilon_1'',\epsilon_2}) - g^{\epsilon_1''}(\omega^{\epsilon_1'',\epsilon_2})](\omega^{\epsilon_1',\epsilon_2} - \omega^{\epsilon_1'',\epsilon_2})^+ dxds \\ =\ & \int_0^t \int_0^1 [\frac{c_1}{(\omega^{\epsilon_1'',\epsilon_2} + v - \Lambda^1)^{\vartheta}} - \frac{c_2}{(\Lambda^2 - \omega^{\epsilon_1'',\epsilon_2} - v + \epsilon_1' + \epsilon_2)^{\vartheta}} \\ & -(\frac{c_1}{(\omega^{\epsilon_1'',\epsilon_2} + v - \Lambda^1)^{\vartheta}} - \frac{c_2}{(\Lambda^2 - \omega^{\epsilon_1'',\epsilon_2} - v + \epsilon_1'' + \epsilon_2)^{\vartheta}})] \\ & (\omega^{\epsilon_1',\epsilon_2} - \omega^{\epsilon_1'',\epsilon_2})^+ dxds \\ \leq\ & 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

This means $\epsilon_1 \mapsto \epsilon_1 + \Xi^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}$ is nondecreasing. By the definition of *uniformly continuous*, we obtain that $\Xi^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}$ converges uniformly on $[0,1]\times[0,T]$ to a continuous function, denoted by Ξ^{ϵ_2}, as $\epsilon_1 \downarrow 0$. Moreover, $\epsilon_1 \mapsto$

$\Upsilon^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} - \Gamma^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}$ is monotone nondecreasing and then $\Upsilon^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} - \Gamma^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}$ converges distributionally to some measure $\Upsilon^{\epsilon_2} - \Gamma^{\epsilon_2}$. From the proof of existence of the solution in Theorem 3.1 in [14], $\Upsilon^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}$, $\Gamma^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}$ converge distributionally to two measures Υ^{ϵ_2}, Γ^{ϵ_2} respectively, and denoted by $(\Xi^{\epsilon_2}, \Upsilon^{\epsilon_2}, \Gamma^{\epsilon_2})$ the solution of the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \Xi^{\epsilon_2}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 \Xi^{\epsilon_2}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{c_1}{(\Xi^{\epsilon_2}+v-\Lambda^1)^{\vartheta}} - \frac{c_2}{[\epsilon_2+(\Lambda^2-\Xi^{\epsilon_2}-v)]^{\vartheta}} + \Upsilon^{\epsilon_2} - \Gamma^{\epsilon_2}; \\ \Xi^{\epsilon_2}(0,t) = \Xi^{\epsilon_2}(1,t) = 0; \\ \Xi^{\epsilon_2}(x,0) = 0 \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

where Υ^{ϵ_2}, Γ^{ϵ_2} satisfy

$$\int_Q \big(\Xi^{\epsilon_2}(x,t) + v(x,t) - \Lambda^1(x,t)\big)\Upsilon^{\epsilon_2}(dx,dt) = 0,$$

$$\int_Q \big(\Lambda^2(x,t) - \Xi^{\epsilon_2}(x,t) - v(x,t)\big)\Gamma^{\epsilon_2}(dx,dt) = 0.$$

In view of Remark 3.1 , we could again apply Lemma 3.1 to Eq. (3.9). It is then easy to get that $\epsilon_2 \mapsto \Xi^{\epsilon_2}$ is nonincreasing. $\epsilon_2 \mapsto \epsilon_2 + \Xi^{\epsilon_2}$ is also nondecreasing, and thus Ξ^{ϵ_2} converges uniformly as $\epsilon_2 \downarrow 0$ on $[0,1]\times[0,T]$ to a continuous function denoted by Ξ. Also, Υ^{ϵ_2}, Γ^{ϵ_2} converge distributionally to two measures Υ, Γ, respectively, and (Ξ, Υ, Γ) is the solution of Eq. (3.1).

Uniqueness: suppose $(\Xi_1, \Upsilon_1, \Gamma_1)$ and $(\Xi_2, \Upsilon_2, \Gamma_2)$ are two solutions to Eq. (3.1). We can choose an appropriate test function ϕ in Eq. (3.2), following the same arguments as that in section 2.3 of Nualart and Pardoux [6] and consequently get $\Xi_1 = \Xi_2$. Using the same method of separating the two measures in Theorem 3.1 of [14], we deduce that $\Upsilon_1 = \Upsilon_2$, $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2$ which completes the proof of uniqueness.

We now prove the inequality (3.3). Define $k := \|v - \hat{v}\|_\infty^T$ and $\omega := (\Xi^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} - \hat{\Xi}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}) - k$. Then ω satisfies the following PDE:

$$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 \omega}{\partial x^2} + g^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(\Xi^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}) - g^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(\hat{\Xi}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}) + \Upsilon^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} - \hat{\Upsilon}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} - \big(\Gamma^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} - \hat{\Gamma}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}\big)$$

where

$$g^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(\Xi^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}) = \frac{c_1}{[\epsilon_1 + (\Xi^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} + v - \Lambda^1)]^{\vartheta}} - \frac{c_2}{[\epsilon_2 + (\Lambda^2 - \Xi^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} - v)]^{\vartheta}}$$

and

$$g^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(\hat{\Xi}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}) \;=\; \frac{c_1}{[\epsilon_1+(\hat{\Xi}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}+\hat{v}-\Lambda^1)]^{\vartheta}}-\frac{c_2}{[\epsilon_2+(\Lambda^2-\hat{\Xi}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}-\hat{v})]^{\vartheta}}.$$

Using Lemma 3.1, we get $\omega_t^+=0$, i.e. $\Xi^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}-\hat{\Xi}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}\le k$. By symmetry, $\hat{\Xi}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}-\Xi^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}\le k$. Then we have for any $T>0$,

$$\|\Xi^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}-\hat{\Xi}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}\|_\infty^T\le\|v-\hat{v}\|_\infty^T.$$

Let $\epsilon_1,\ \epsilon_2\downarrow 0$, we conclude that $\|\Xi-\hat{\Xi}\|_\infty^T\le\|v-\hat{v}\|_\infty^T$. This is the same as Inequality (3.3). □

Now we use Picard iteration to get the existence and uniqueness of the solution of Eq. (1.1).

Proof of Theorem 3.1 Similar to Zhang and Yang [14], we define

$$\begin{aligned} v_1(x,t) \;&=\; \int_0^1 G_t(x,y)X_0(y)dy+\int_0^t\int_0^1 G_{t-s}(x,y)f(y,s;X_0)dyds \\ &\quad+\int_0^t\int_0^1 G_{t-s}(x,y)\chi(y,s;X_0)W(dy,ds), \end{aligned}$$

where $G_t(x,y)$ is Green's function of the heat equation. So $v_1(x,t)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial v_1(x,t)}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial^2 v_1(x,t)}{\partial x^2}+f(x,t;X_0)+\chi(x,t;X_0)\dot{W}(x,t); \\ v_1(0,t)=v_1(1,t)=0; \\ v_1(x,0)=X_0(x). \end{cases}$$

Let $(\Xi_1,\Upsilon_1,\Gamma_1)$ be the unique solution of Eq. (3.1) with $v=v_1$. Then $(X_1,\Upsilon_1,\Gamma_1)$, where $X_1:=\Xi_1+v_1$, is the unique solution of the following SPDE with two reflecting walls:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial X_1(x,t)}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial^2 X_1(x,t)}{\partial x^2}+f(x,t;X_0)+\frac{c_1}{(X_1-\Lambda^1)^{\vartheta}}-\frac{c_2}{(\Lambda^2-X_1)^{\vartheta}} \\ \qquad\qquad +\chi(x,t;X_0)\dot{W}(x,t)+\Upsilon_1-\Gamma_1; \\ X_1(0,t)=X_1(1,t)=0; \\ X_1(x,0)=X_0(x); \\ \Lambda^1(x,t)\le X_1(x,t)\le\Lambda^2(x,t). \end{cases}$$

Iterating this procedure, suppose X_{n-1} has been obtained. Let

$$\begin{aligned} v_n(x,t) \;&=\; \int_0^1 G_t(x,y)X_0(y)dy+\int_0^t\int_0^1 G_{t-s}(x,y)f(y,s;X_{n-1})dyds \\ &\quad+\int_0^t\int_0^1 G_{t-s}(x,y)\chi(y,s;X_{n-1})W(dy,ds) \end{aligned}$$

and $(\Xi_n, \Upsilon_n, \Gamma_n)$ the unique solution of Eq. (3.1) replacing v by v_n. Then $(X_n, \Upsilon_n, \Gamma_n)$, where $X_n = \Xi_n + v_n$, is the unique solution of the following SPDE:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial X_n(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 X_n(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + f(x,t;X_{n-1}) + \frac{c_1}{(X_n - \Lambda^1)^\vartheta} - \frac{c_2}{(\Lambda^2 - X_n)^\vartheta} \\ \qquad\qquad +\chi(x,t;X_{n-1})\dot{W}(x,t) + \Upsilon_n - \Gamma_n; \\ X_n(0,t) = X_n(1,t) = 0; \\ X_n(x,0) = X_0(x); \\ \Lambda^1(x,t) \le X_n(x,t) \le \Lambda^2(x,t). \end{cases}$$

From Inequality (3.3),

$$\|\Xi_n - \Xi_{n-1}\|_\infty^T \le \|v_n - v_{n-1}\|_\infty^T \tag{3.10}$$

and therefore

$$\|X_n - X_{n-1}\|_\infty^T \le 2\|v_n - v_{n-1}\|_\infty^T. \tag{3.11}$$

Under the assumption (F2,F3), there exists constant $C(k,T)$ depending on k, T, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\big(\|X_n - X_{n-1}\|_\infty^T\big)^k &\le 2^k \mathbb{E}\big(\|v_n - v_{n-1}\|_\infty^T\big)^k \\ &\le C^{k-1}(k,T)\mathbb{E}\big(\|X_1 - X_0\|_\infty^T\big)^k \frac{T^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists $X(\cdot,\cdot) \in C(Q)$ such that $\underset{n\to\infty}{lim}\mathbb{E}\big(\|X_n - X\|_\infty^T\big)^k = 0$. Going with the same argument as section 4 in [14], we can obtain that (X, Υ, Γ) is a solution to the SPDE (1.1). Furthermore, the uniqueness is similar to that in [14] with the help of Inequality (3.3). □

4. Pathwise Properties

Let S be the circle [0,1], with the endpoints identified, and let $\rho(x,y)$ be the distance from x to y along the circle S. That is, let

$$\rho(x,y) = \min_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |x - y + k|.$$

In this section, we consider pathwise properties of the following SPDEs on $S \times \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial X}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial x^2} + f(x,t,X) + \frac{c_1}{(X-\Lambda^1)^\vartheta} - \frac{c_2}{(\Lambda^2 - X)^\vartheta} + \chi(x,t,X)\dot{W} + \Upsilon - \Gamma; \\ X(x,0) = X_0(x) \in C(S); \\ \Lambda^1(x,t) \leq X(x,t) \leq \Lambda^2(x,t), \quad \text{for } (x,t) \in S \times \mathbb{R}_+. \end{array}\right. \tag{4.1}$$

Here $\dot{W}(x,t)$ stands for the space-time white noise.

Set $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{M,T} = \{X \in C(S \times \mathbb{R}_+) : \sup_{x \in S, t \in [0,T]} |X(x,t)| \leq M\}$. The reflecting walls Λ^1, Λ^2 and coefficients: f, χ are assumed to be analogous with that in section 2, i.e.
(H'1)$\Lambda^i(x,t) \in C(S \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\Lambda^1(x,t) < \Lambda^2(x,t)$ for $(x,t) \in S \times \mathbb{R}_+$;
(H'2) $\frac{\partial \Lambda^i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 \Lambda^i}{\partial x^2} \in L^2(S \times [0,T])$;
(H'3) $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Lambda^i(0,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Lambda^i(1,t) = 0$ for $t \geq 0$;
(H'4) $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\Lambda^2 - \Lambda^1) \geq 0$.
and
(F'1) $f(\cdot,\cdot;0), \chi(\cdot,\cdot;0) \in L^2(S \times [0,T])$;
(F'2) for every $X, \hat{X} \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{M,T}$, there exists $C_{T,M} > 0$ such that

$$|f(x,t;X) - f(x,t;\hat{X})| + |\chi(x,t;X) - \chi(x,t;\hat{X})| \leq C_{T,M} \sup_{y \in S, s \in [0,t]} |X(y,s) - \hat{X}(y,s)|,$$

for every $x \in S$ and $t \in [0,T]$;
(F'3) there exists $C_T > 0$ such that

$$|f(x,t;X)| + |\chi(x,t;X)| \leq C_T(1 + \sup_{y \in S, s \in [0,t]} |X(y,s)|), \ \forall (x,t) \in S \times [0,T].$$

Here the precise definition of the solution to Eq. (4.1) is as follows.

Defintion 4.1. *A triplet* (X, Υ, Γ) *is a solution to the Eq. (4.1) if*
(i) $X = \{X(x,t); (x,t) \in S \times \mathbb{R}_+\}$ *is a continuous, adapted random field satisfying* $\Lambda^1(x,t) \leq X(x,t) \leq \Lambda^2(x,t)$*, a.s;*
(ii) $\Upsilon(dx,dt)$ *and* $\Gamma(dx,dt)$ *are positive and adapted random measures on* $S \times \mathbb{R}_+$ *satisfying*

$$\Upsilon\big(S \times [0,T]\big) < \infty, \ \Gamma\big(S \times [0,T]\big) < \infty$$

for $T > 0$;
(iii) for all $t \geq 0$ and $\psi \in C^\infty(S)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(X(t), \psi\big) - \big(X_0, \psi\big) - \int_0^t (X(s), \psi'')ds - \int_0^t \big(f(y,s,X), \psi\big)ds \\
= \ &\int_0^t \Big(\frac{c_1}{(X-\Lambda^1)^\vartheta} - \frac{c_2}{(\Lambda^2 - X)^\vartheta}, \psi\Big)ds + \int_0^t \int_S (\chi(y,s,X), \psi) W(dx, ds) \\
&+ \int_0^t \int_S \psi \Upsilon(dx, ds) - \int_0^t \int_S \psi \Gamma(dx, ds), \ a.s, \qquad (4.2)
\end{aligned}$$

where $(,)$ denotes the inner product in $L^2(S)$;
(iv)

$$\int_{S\times\mathbb{R}_+} \big(X(x,t) - \Lambda^1(x)\big)\Upsilon(dx, dt) = \int_{S\times\mathbb{R}_+} \big(\Lambda^2(x) - X(x,t)\big)\Gamma(dx, dt) = 0.$$

The existence and uniqueness of the solution of Eq. (4.1) is established in a similar way as Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $X_0 \in C(S)$ satisfy $\Lambda^1(x,0) \leq X_0(x) \leq \Lambda^2(x,0)$, for $x \in S$. Under the hypotheses (H'1)-(H'4), (F'1)-(F'3), there exists a unique solution (X, Υ, Γ) to the Eq. (4.1) for all $\vartheta \geq 0$.*

Before studying the pathwise properties of SPDE (4.1), we introduce some notation. In view of assumption (H'2), suppose that there exist $f_i \in L^2(Q_T)$, where $Q_T := S \times [0, T]$, such that

$$\frac{\partial \Lambda^i(x,t)}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial^2 \Lambda^i(x,t)}{\partial x^2} = f_i(x,t).$$

Let

$$\bar{G}(t,x) = (4\pi t)^{-1/2} \exp(-\frac{x^2}{4t}).$$

Recall that $\bar{G}(t,x)$ is the fundamental solution of the heat equation on $\mathbb{R}$. Next, let $G(t,x,y)$ or $G_t(x,y)$ be the fundamental solution of the heat equation on S, and recall that

$$G(t,x,y) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \bar{G}(t, \rho(x,y) + m).$$

We have the following well-known result, the proof is similar to that in Walsh [8] and is hence omitted.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $a \in (1,3)$. For any $x, y \in S$ and $t \in [0,T]$, there exists a constant C_a such that*

$$\int_0^t \int_S |G(t-s,x,y)|^a dyds \le C_a t^{\frac{3a-1}{2}}. \tag{4.3}$$

4.1. The case $\vartheta > 3$

We consider the special case $\vartheta > 3$ in this subsection. We have the following theorem, which is a corollary of Lemma 4.2 below. The proof of Lemma 4.2 is similar to that in [4], but is nontrivial.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $X_0 \in C(S)$ satisfy $\Lambda^1(x,0) < X_0(x) < \Lambda^2(x,0), x \in S$ and suppose (H'1)-(H'4), (F'1)-(F'3) hold. If $\vartheta > 3$, then the unique solution (X, Υ, Γ) to Eq. (4.1) remains in (Λ^1, Λ^2) for all time and $x \in S$, hence $\Upsilon = \Gamma = 0$.*

Suppose that $v(t,x)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} v_t = v_{xx} + f(x,t,v) + g(x,t,v) + \chi(x,t,v)\dot{W}, \ t > 0, \ x \in S; \\ v(0,x) = X_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

where $f, \ \chi$ are given at the beginning of this section and for every $\delta, \widetilde{\delta} > 0$, denote

$$g(x,t,X(x,t)) = \Big(|X(x,t) - \Lambda^1(x,t)| \vee (\delta/2)\Big)^{-\vartheta} - \Big(|\Lambda^2(x,t) - X(x,t)| \vee (\widetilde{\delta}/2)\Big)^{-\vartheta}.$$

Lemma 4.2. *Given $T > 0$, $\vartheta > 3$ and $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $\delta_0, \ \delta_1 > 0$ such that for any $0 < \delta \le \delta_0$, $0 < \widetilde{\delta} \le \delta_1$, and $X_0(x) \in C(S)$ satisfying $\Lambda^2(x,0) - \widetilde{\delta} \ge X_0(x) \ge \Lambda^1(x,0) + \delta$ for all $x \in S$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\inf_{0 \le t \le T} \inf_{x \in S} \big(v(x,t) - \Lambda^1(x,t) \big) < \delta/2 \Big) < \epsilon, \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\inf_{0 \le t \le T} \inf_{x \in S} \big(\Lambda^2(x,t) - v(x,t) \big) < \widetilde{\delta}/2 \Big) < \epsilon. \tag{4.6}$$

Here $v(x,t) = \infty$ if t is greater or equal to the blow-up time for $v(x,t)$.

Proof At the beginning of the proof, we introduce some notations. Let $c := \inf\limits_{Q_T}(\Lambda^2(x,t) - \Lambda^1(x,t))$, $C := \sup\limits_{Q_T}(\Lambda^2(x,t) - \Lambda^1(x,t))$. Denote

$$\Theta = \{X \in C(Q_T) : \sup_{(y,s)\in Q_T} |X(y,s) - \Lambda^1(y,s)| \leq c/4\},$$

$$\varphi := \inf_{\Theta} \inf_{(x,t)\in Q_T} f(x,t,X(x,t)) \text{ and } \psi := \sup_{\Theta} \sup_{(x,t)\in Q_T} f(x,t,X(x,t)).$$

By the assumptions in this section, c, C, φ, ψ are finite constant. For any $l > 0$, denote

$$U_l = \Big\{X \in C(Q_T) : \ l/2 \leq X(y,s) - \Lambda^1(y,s) \leq 2l, \ \forall (y,s) \in Q_T\Big\}.$$

Since $\vartheta > 3$, it follows that $\frac{1}{\vartheta+1} < 1/4$. Choose κ such that $1/(\vartheta+1) < \kappa < 1/4$. Let $\delta_0, \delta_1 \leq c/8$, $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$ and $0 < \widetilde{\delta} \leq \delta_1$.

Note that if $X \in U_\delta$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda^2(x,t) - X(x,t) &= \Lambda^2(x,t) - \Lambda^1(x,t) + \Lambda^1(x,t) - X(x,t)\\ &\geq c - 2\delta \geq c - 2\delta_0 \geq 3/4c,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda^2(x,t) - X(x,t) &= \Lambda^2(x,t) - \Lambda^1(x,t) + \Lambda^1(x,t) - X(x,t)\\ &\leq \Lambda^2(x,t) - \Lambda^1(x,t) \leq C.\end{aligned}$$

So we have $C \geq |\Lambda^2(x,t) - X(x,t)| \vee (\widetilde{\delta}/2) \geq 3/4c$.

Hence, for $a = \varphi - (3/4c)^{-\vartheta}$, $A = \psi - C^{-\vartheta}$,

$$(2\delta)^{-\vartheta} + a \leq f(x,t,X(x,t)) + g(x,t,X(x,t)) \leq (\delta/2)^{-\vartheta} + A, \ \forall X \in U_\delta. \tag{4.7}$$

Let $w(t,x)$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} w_t(x,t) = w_{xx}(x,t) + f(x,t,w(x,t)) + g(x,t,w(x,t)) \\ \qquad\qquad + \chi(x,t,w(x,t))\dot{W}(x,t); \\ w(x,k\beta) = \Lambda^1(x,k\beta) + \delta, \ k\beta \leq t < (k+1)\beta, \ x \in S, \end{cases} \tag{4.8}$$

where $\beta = 2^{-\vartheta-2}\delta^{1+\vartheta}$, $M \equiv T/\beta$ is an integer and $k = 0, \cdots, M-1$.

To get Inequality (4.5), it is enough to prove

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}\Big(\inf_{(x,t)\in Q_T}\big(v(x,t)-w(x,t)\big)\geq 0,\ \inf_{(x,t)\in Q_T}\big(w(x,t)-\Lambda^1(x,t)\big)\geq \delta/2\Big)\\ \geq\ & 1-\epsilon. \end{aligned}\tag{4.9}$$

For $k\beta\leq t<(k+1)\beta$ and $x\in S$, and assuming that $\Lambda^1(x,s)+\delta/2\leq w(s,x)\leq\Lambda^1(x,s)+2\delta$ for $k\beta\leq s\leq t$ and $x\in S$, we have

$$w(x,t)=\int_S G(t-k\beta,x,y)\Lambda^1(y,k\beta)dy+\delta+D_k(x,t)+N_{k,L}(x,t),\tag{4.10}$$

where $L=\sup_{X\in U_\delta}\sup_{(x,t)\in Q_T}\chi(x,t,X(x,t))$, and

$$\begin{aligned}D_k(x,t)\ =\ & \int_{k\beta}^t\int_S G(t-s,x,y)g(y,s,w(y,s))dyds\\ &+\int_{k\beta}^t\int_S G(t-s,x,y)[f(y,s,w(y,s))]dyds,\end{aligned}$$

$$N_{k,L}(x,t)=\int_{k\beta}^t\int_S G(t-s,x,y)[\chi(y,s,w(y,s))\wedge L]W(dy,ds).$$

Denote by τ_w the blow-up time for $|w|$. Let $w(x,t)=D_k(x,t)=N_{k,L}(x,t)=\infty$ if $t\geq\tau_w$.

Let

$$\mathcal{N}_k=\{\omega\in\Omega:\Big|\frac{N_{k,L}(x,t)}{(t-k\beta)^\kappa}\Big|\leq\delta^{1-\kappa(1+\vartheta)}2^{-3-2\vartheta+\kappa(\vartheta+2)},\forall(t,x)\in(k\beta,(k+1)\beta]\times S\}.$$

Because of $1-\kappa(1+\vartheta)<0$, if δ_0 is small enough, then from Lemma 2.3 in [4] $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_k^c)\leq\frac{\epsilon}{M},\ \forall\delta\in(0,\delta_0],\ k=0,\cdots,M-1$.

Let $\mathcal{N}=\bigcap_{k=0}^{M-1}\mathcal{N}_k$. Since

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\inf_{0\leq t\leq T}\inf_{x\in S}\Big[v(x,t)-\Lambda^1(x,t)\Big]<\delta/2\Big)\ \leq\ \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}^c)\leq\sum_{k=0}^{M-1}\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_k^c)<\epsilon,$$

it suffices to show that $w(x,t)\leq v(x,t)$ and $w(x,t)-\Lambda^1(x,t)\geq\delta/2$ for $0\leq t\leq T$ and $x\in S$. By comparison theorem (see Lemma 2.2 in [4]) and induction, $w(x,t)\leq v(x,t)$ for $0\leq t<k\beta$ and $x\in S$.

Therefore, our aim is to obtain that if the event $\mathcal{N}_k,\ k=0,\cdots,M$ occurs, then, $\Lambda^1(x,t)+\delta/2 \le w(x,t) \le \Lambda^1(x,t)+2\delta,\ k\beta \le t < (k+1)\beta,\ x\in S, k=0,\cdots,M-1$ and $\Lambda^1(x,(k+1)\beta)+\delta \le w((k+1)\beta-,x),\ x\in S$, where $f(t-)=\lim\limits_{s\uparrow t} f(s)$.

Let t^* be the first time $t\in[k\beta,(k+1)\beta)$ such that for some $x\in S$, $w(x,t^*)=\Lambda^1(x,t^*)+\delta/2$ or $\Lambda^1(x,t^*)+2\delta$. Define $t^*=(k+1)\beta$ if there is no such time.

Thus, to get inequality (4.5), we need only verify that on $\mathcal{N}_k$,
(i) $t^*=(k+1)\beta$; (ii) $\Lambda^1(x,(k+1)\beta)+\delta \le w((k+1)\beta-,x),\ x\in S$.

For $x\in S$ and if $t^*<(k+1)\beta$, then by the definition of β,

$$\begin{aligned} 0\le D_k(x,t^*) &\le \beta \sup_{X\in U_\delta^1}\sup_{(x,t)\in Q_T}\Big(f(x,t,X(x,t))+g(x,t,X(x,t))\Big)\\ &\le \beta\Big[(\frac{\delta}{2})^{-\vartheta}+A\Big]\\ &= 2^{-\vartheta-2}\delta^{1+\vartheta}\Big[(\frac{\delta}{2})^{-\vartheta}+A\Big]=\frac{\delta}{4}+2^{-\vartheta-2}\delta^{1+\vartheta}A \end{aligned}$$

and $|N_{k,L}(x,t^*)|\le \delta 2^{-3-2\vartheta}$.

Let $I_k(x,t)=\int_S G(t-k\beta,x,y)\Lambda^1(y,k\beta)dyds$. Since I_k and Λ^1 are the unique solutions of the following PDEs respectively,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial H(x,t)}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial^2 H(x,t)}{\partial x^2}, \quad t\in[k\beta,(k+1)\beta),\ x\in S;\\ H(x,k\beta)=\Lambda^1(x,k\beta)\end{cases} \tag{4.11}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \widetilde{H}(x,t)}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{H}(x,t)}{\partial x^2}+f_1(x,t), \quad t\in[k\beta,(k+1)\beta),\ x\in S;\\ \widetilde{H}(x,k\beta)=\Lambda^1(x,k\beta),\end{cases} \tag{4.12}$$

then, by Lemma 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} &|I_k(x,t^*)-\Lambda^1(x,t^*)|\\ =\ & |\int_{k\beta}^{t^*}\int_S G(t^*-s,x,y)f_1(y,s)dyds|\\ \le\ & \Big[\int_{k\beta}^{t^*}\int_S |G(t^*-s,x,y)|^2dyds\Big]^{1/2}\Big[\int_0^T\int_S |f_1(y,s)|^2dyds\Big]^{1/2}\\ \le\ & \widetilde{C}(t^*-s)^{1/4}\le \widetilde{C}\beta^{1/4}=\widetilde{C}2^{\frac{-\vartheta-2}{4}}\delta^{\frac{1+\vartheta}{4}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Therefore, if $x \in S$ and if $t^* < (k+1)\beta$, then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} |w(x,t^*) - \Lambda^1(x,t^*) - \delta| & \leq & |I_k(x,t^*) - \Lambda^1(x,k\beta)| + |D_k(x,t^*)| + |N_{k,L}(x,t^*)| \\ & < & \widetilde{C}2^{\frac{-\vartheta-2}{2}}\delta^{\frac{1+\vartheta}{2}} + \dfrac{\delta}{4} + 2^{-\vartheta-2}\delta^{1+\vartheta}A + \delta 2^{-3-2\vartheta}. \end{array}$$

Hence when δ_0 is small enough, $|w(x,t^*) - \Lambda^1(x,t^*) - \delta| < \delta/2$, $\forall \delta \in (0, \delta_0]$, and so $t^* = (k+1)\beta$.

To show (ii), in view of

$$\begin{array}{rcl} w(x,(k+1)\beta-) & = & \displaystyle\int_S G((k+1)\beta - k\beta, x, y)\Lambda^1(y,k\beta)dy + \delta \\ & & + D_k(x,(k+1)\beta) + N_{k,L}(x,(k+1)\beta), \end{array}$$

we have to check

$$\begin{array}{rl} & |I_k(x,(k+1)\beta) - \Lambda^1(x,(k+1)\beta)| + |N_{k,L}(x,(k+1)\beta)| \\ \leq & D_k(x,(k+1)\beta). \end{array} \tag{4.14}$$

Therefore, inequality (4.14) is acquired by the following inequalities $D_k(x,(k+1)\beta) \geq \delta 2^{-2\vartheta-2} + a2^{-\vartheta-2}\delta^{1+\vartheta}$, $|N_{k,L}(x,(k+1)\beta)| \leq \delta 2^{-2\vartheta-3}$, and $|I_k(x,(k+1)\beta) - \Lambda^1(x,(k+1)\beta)| \leq \widetilde{C}2^{\frac{-\vartheta-2}{2}}\delta^{\frac{1+\vartheta}{2}}$. This completes the proof of (4.5). Similarly, we can get (4.6). □

4.2. The case $0 < \vartheta < 3$

In this subsection, we assume the diffusion coefficient χ is bounded away from zero. More precisely, we assume that

(A) There exists $c > 0$ such that $c \leq |\chi(x,t,X)|$, $\forall (x,t) \in S \times \mathbb{R}_+$, $X \in \mathbb{R}$.

Denote X the solution of Eq. (4.1), define

$$\tau_1 := \inf\{t > 0;\ \inf_{x \in S}\big(X(x,t) - \Lambda^1(x,t)\big) = 0\},$$

$$\tau_2 := \inf\{t > 0;\ \inf_{x \in S}\big(\Lambda^2(x,t) - X(x,t)\big) = 0\},$$

and set $\tau := \tau_1 \wedge \tau_2$.

The aim of this subsection is to show that the following proposition holds.

Proposition 4.1. *Let the same assumptions as Theorem 4.1, and (A) hold. Let* $0 < \vartheta < 3$*, and suppose that* $X_0 \in C(S)$ *satisfies* $\Lambda^1(x,0) < X_0(x) < \Lambda^2(x,0)$ *for all* $x \in S$*. If* X *solves Eq.* (4.1)*, then* $P(\tau < \infty) > 0$*, i.e, the solution of Eq.* (4.1) *hits* $\Lambda^1(x,t)$ *or* $\Lambda^2(x,t)$ *in finite time with positive probability.*

First, we introduce the following SPDE

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial v(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 v(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + f(x,t,v) + \frac{c_1}{(v-\Lambda^1)^\vartheta} + \chi\big(x,t,v\big)\dot{W}(x,t); \\ v(x,0) = X_0(x). \end{cases} \tag{4.15}$$

Then Eq. (4.15) has a unique solution on the random time interval $[0, \tau_v]$, where

$$\tau_v := \inf\{t > 0;\ \inf_{x\in S}\big(v(x,t) - \Lambda^1(x,t)\big) \le 0\}.$$

The next lemma is analogous to that in [5].

Lemma 4.3. *Let* $0 < \vartheta < 3$ *and suppose that* $X_0 \in C(S)$ *and* $\inf_{x\in S}\big(X_0(x) - \Lambda^1(x,0)\big) > 0$*. If* v *solves Eq.* (4.15)*, then* $P(\tau_v < \infty) > 0$*.*

Proof. Let $w(x,t) = v(x,t) - \Lambda^1(x,t)$ and $w(x,0) = X_0(x) - \Lambda^1(x,0)$. Then $w(x,t)$ is the unique solution of the following equation on $[0, \tau_v]$,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial w(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 w(x,t)}{\partial x^2} - f_1(x,t) + f(x,t,w+\Lambda^1) + \frac{c_1}{w^\vartheta} \\ \qquad\qquad +\chi\big(x,t,w+\Lambda^1\big)\dot{W}(x,t),\ (x,t) \in S \times \mathbb{R}_+; \\ w(x,0) = X_0(x) - \Lambda^1(x,0). \end{cases} \tag{4.16}$$

Now we use a Girsanov transformation to remove the drift term $-f_1(x,t) + f(x,t,w+\Lambda^1)$ from Eq. (4.16).

Consider

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \Xi(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 \Xi(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{c_1}{\Xi^\vartheta} + \chi\big(x,t,\Xi+\Lambda^1\big)\dot{W}(x,t),\ (x,t) \in S \times \mathbb{R}_+; \\ \Xi(x,0) = X_0(x) - \Lambda^1(x,0). \end{cases} \tag{4.17}$$

Eq. (4.17) has a unique solution Ξ on $[0, \tau_\Xi]$, where

$$\tau_\Xi := \inf\{t > 0;\ \inf_{x\in S}\big(\Xi(x,t)\big) \le 0\}.$$

Let $\mathbb{P}_w^{\tau_\Xi\wedge T}$, $\mathbb{P}_\Xi^{\tau_\Xi\wedge T}$ denote the measures on the space of continuous function $g : S \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ induced by $w^{\tau_\Xi\wedge T}$, $\Xi^{\tau_\Xi\wedge T}$ respectively. Here we denote h^τ

the truncated function $h^\tau(x,t) = h(x,t)1_{\{t\le\tau\}}$. Because of Condition (A), we have $\mathbb{P}_w^{\tau_\Xi\wedge T}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mathbb{P}_\Xi^{\tau_\Xi\wedge T}$, and

$$\frac{d\mathbb{P}_w^{\tau_\Xi\wedge T}}{d\mathbb{P}_\Xi^{\tau_\Xi\wedge T}} = J := \exp\big(\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_\Xi}\int_S q(x,t)W(dx,dt) - 1/2\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_\Xi}\int_S (q(x,t))^2 dxdt\big),$$

where $q(x,t) = \chi^{-1}(x,t,\Xi+\Lambda^1)[-f_1(x,t) + f(x,t,\Xi+\Lambda^1)]$.

Note the fact that

$$\int_0^{\tau_\Xi}\int_S (q(x,t))^2 dxdt < \infty,\ a.s.,$$

and the fact $\mathbb{P}(\tau_\Xi < \infty) > 0$ by Theorem 1 of [5], hence $\mathbb{P}(\tau_v < \infty) > 0$. □

Proof of Proposition 4.1 From Theorem 2.1 (comparison theorem) in [2], the solution X of Eq. (4.1) is less than the solution v of Eq. (4.15) on the time interval $[0, \tau_v \wedge \tau_1 \wedge \tau_2]$, that is

$$X(x,t) \le v(x,t),\ \forall x \in S,\ t \in [0, \tau_v \wedge \tau_1 \wedge \tau_2].$$

Hence we have $\{\tau_v < \infty,\ \tau_2 = \infty\} \subset \{\tau_1 < \infty\}$.

By Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < P(\tau_v < \infty) &= P(\{\tau_v < \infty,\ \tau_2 < \infty\} \cup \{\tau_v < \infty,\ \tau_2 = \infty\}) \\ &\le P(\{\tau_2 < \infty\} \cup \{\tau_1 < \infty\}) \\ &= P(\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2 < \infty) \\ &= P(\tau < \infty). \end{aligned}$$

This proves Proposition 4.1. □

Acknowledgements The authors thank Prof. Zhao Dong for his encouragement and valuable suggestions.

References

References

[1] Dalang, R., Mueller, C., Zambotti, L. (2006). Hitting properties of parabolic SPDE's with reflection. *Ann. Probab.* **34**(4) 1423-1450.

[2] Donati-Martin, C., Pardoux, E. (1993). White noise driven SPDEs with reflection. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **95** 1-24.

[3] Donati-Martin, C., Pardoux, E. (1997). EDPS réfléchies et calcul de Malliavin. *Bull. Sci. Math.* **121**(5) 405-422.

[4] Mueller, C. (1998). Long-time existence for signed solutions to the heat equation with a noise term. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **110** 51-68.

[5] Mueller, C., Pardoux, E. (1999). The critical exponent for a stochastic PDE to hit zero. In *Stochastic Analysis, Control, Optimization and Applications: A Volome in Honor of W. H. Fleming* (W. M. McEneaney, G. G. Yin and Q. Zhang, eds.) 325-338. Birkhauser, Boston.

[6] Nualart, D., Pardoux, E. (1992). White noise driven quasilinear SPDEs with reflection. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **93** 77-89.

[7] Otobe, Y. (2006). Stochastic partial differential equations with two reflecting walls. *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **13** 139-144.

[8] Walsh, J. (1986). An introduction to stochastic partial differential equations. In: P.L. Hennequin, editor, Ecole d'Ete de Probabilites de Saint Flour XIV-1984, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1180** Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Springer-Verlag.

[9] Xu, T., Zhang, T. (2009). White noise driven SPDEs with reflection: existence, uniqueness and large deviation principles. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **119**(10) 3453-3470.

[10] Yang, J., Zhang, T. (2013). The existence and uniqueness of invariant measure of SPDEs with two reflecting walls. Accepted by *J. Theoretical Probab.*

[11] Zambotti, L. (2001). A reflected stochastic heat equation as symmetric dynamic with respect to the 3-d Bessel bridge. *J. Funct. Anal.* **180** 195-209.

[12] Zambotti, L. (2003). Integration by parts on δ-Bessel bridges, $\delta > 3$ and related SPDEs. *Ann. Probab.* **31**(1) 323-348.

[13] Zhang, T. (2009). White noise driven SPDEs with reflection: strong Feller properties and Harnack inequalities. *Poten. Anal.* **33**(2) 137-151.

[14] Zhang, T., Yang, J.(2011). White noise driven SPDEs with two reflecting walls. *Infin. Dim. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* **14**(4) 647-659.